

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/549,571	GOTOH ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
DONGHAI D. NGUYEN	3729	

All Participants:

(1) DONGHAI D. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) BRIAN R. EPSTEIN. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 February 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to make changes to the claimed language by an Examiner's Amendment as suggested by the Examiner to put the case in a better condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)